

## 83.3. Leukemia: Acute Lymphocytic Leukemia in Adults

---

### Authors

Jerald P. Radich, Soheil Meshinchi, Elihu H. Estey, William L. Carroll, Ryan Cassaday, Steven Coutre, Elaine S. Jaffe, John P. Leonard

### Emerging Prognostic Factors for Clinical Care

| <b>Factor</b>                  | <b>Definition</b>  | <b>Clinical significance</b>            | <b>Level of evidence</b> |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|
| iAMP21                         | 3 or more extra copies of the <i>RUNX1</i> gene  | Worse outcome                           | III                      |
| Oncogenetic profiling in T-ALL | Mutations in <i>NOTCH</i> or <i>FBXW7</i> ; no mutations in <i>KRAS</i> , <i>NRAS</i> , or <i>PTEN</i> | Better outcome                          | III                      |
| MRD by NGS                     | Complete MRD response vs. MRD persistence/reappearance   | Better vs. worse outcome (respectively) | III                      |

### Risk Assessment Models

Although the anatomic distribution of disease at diagnosis does not have clear prognostic significance, baseline characteristics such as age, WBC count, cytogenetics, and other molecular/genetic factors allow pretreatment risk stratification. After treatment, the depth of response (as determined by assessment of MRD) provides additional and powerful prognostic and predictive information. Presently, the information gained from the presence or absence of these factors is used primarily to identify the patients most likely to relapse following initial chemotherapy and thus most likely to benefit from HCT in first remission. However, methods to integrate these multiple pre- and posttreatment factors are needed.

### Recommendations for Clinical Trial Stratification

The authors have not provided any recommendations for clinical trial stratification at this time.

### Bibliography