

79.2. Hodgkin and Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas: Mantle Cell Lymphoma

Authors

Andrew D. Zelenetz, Elaine S. Jaffe, Ranjana H. Advani, Nancy Lee Harris, Richard T. Hoppe, Michael P. Link, Steven T. Rosen, John P. Leonard

Emerging Prognostic Factors for Clinical Care

Given the variable natural history of MCL, identification of robust factors that predict outcome and guide therapy are essential to make progress in the disease.

SOX11. MCL often expresses the transcription factor SOX11.¹ This may be very helpful in distinguishing MCL lacking expression of cyclin D1 from variant CLL lacking CD23 expression. However, another role for evaluation of SOX11 expression in MCL is emerging. Some cases of MCL lack the expression of SOX11, which appears to correlate with an indolent clinical course.²⁻⁴ However, there are cases of MCL with an indolent behavior that express SOX11. Nonetheless, lack of SOX11 expression in MCL may become a routine biomarker to help identify patients with an indolent course.

Immunoglobulin Heavy Chain Variable Gene (*IGHV*) Mutation Status. The role of *IGHV* mutation status is well established in CLL/SLL.^{5,6} Data are emerging suggesting that the situation in MCL may mirror the experience in CLL/SLL.^{4,7-10} Patients with MCL who have a germline (unmutated) *IGHV* have the more aggressive clinical course and shorter OS compared with patients with *IGHV* mutation, who have a more indolent course. The lack of SOX11 expression and the presence of *IGHV* mutation are highly correlated. Therefore, testing for SOX11 and *IGHV* mutation would be unnecessary. The advantage of testing for *IGHV* mutation status is that there is a clear result, in contrast to a negative IHC result with SOX11 that is potentially complicated by a false negative result.

TP53 Mutation/del(17p). There is extensive evidence that mutation or deletion of *TP53* is associated with an adverse outcome in MCL.^{7,11-14} Although these lesions may be present at diagnosis, they appear to be enriched in relapsed/refractory disease. Nonetheless, it has not become routine to evaluate for these lesions. However, with the emergence of treatments that may be effective in the presence of TP53 mutation or del(17p), such as ibrutinib and venetoclax, it may become more important to know whether these lesions are present to help guide treatment decisions.

MDM2 Overexpression. MDM2 is a negative regulator of TP53. Overexpression of MDM2 is seen in a subset of MCL cases and is associated with a poor prognosis.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ This is consistent with the data discussed earlier that loss of TP53 is associated with a more aggressive course. The net result of MDM2

79.2. Hodgkin and Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas: Mantle Cell Lymphoma

overexpression is loss of TP53. However, the clinical data are relatively sparse, and additional information is needed to confirm the importance of MDM2 expression in the prognosis of MCL.

Factor	Definition	Clinical significance	Level of evidence
SOX11 ^{2,4}	Expression or lack of expression of SOX11 by IHC	In typical MCL with t(11;14), lack of SOX11 is associated with an indolent course; some indolent MCLs are SOX11 positive.	III
IGHV mutation status ^{4,7,8,10}	Determination of the sequence of the rearranged IGHV to determine whether the tumor is pre- or post-germinal center	IGHV-mutated cases appear to have a much more indolent course of MCL and may be more reliable than IHC for SOX11; however, results are variable.	III
del(17p); TP53 mutation ^{7,11-14}	FISH for 17p or sequencing of TP53	del(17p) or TP53 mutation predicts a poor outcome.	II
MDM2 overexpression ¹⁴⁻¹⁶	IHC for MDM2	Overexpression of MDM2 is associated with poor prognosis.	III

Risk Assessment Models

Risk assessment models and prognostic tools play an important role in cancer medicine because they provide a mechanism to integrate disparate data elements into a process that leads to decreased prognostic heterogeneity. Such processes are useful for (1) identifying and characterizing important prognostic factors, (2) improving prognostic predictions for individual patients, and (3) designing, conducting, and analyzing clinical trials.¹⁷ The most common type of prognostic tool is a prognostic calculator that provides time-specific outcome (e.g., 5-year OS) probability predictions for individual patients based on their demographic, clinical, and tumor characteristics. The prognostic nomogram developed by Yang et al¹⁸ is an example of a risk calculator. Another type of prognostic tool is a prognostic classifier that places patients into ordered prognostic risk classes (either directly or based on cutoffs for individual probability estimates). The remaining tools referenced in this chapter (e.g., IPI, MIPI, FLIPI, and CLL-IPI) are prognostic classifiers. The AJCC Precision Medicine Core (PMC) developed and published criteria for critical evaluation of prognostic calculators,¹⁹ which are presented and discussed in Chapter 4. The prognostic nomogram developed by Yang et al¹⁸ meets all but one of the AJCC PMC criteria because it lacks discussion of how missing data were treated.

79.2. Hodgkin and Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas: Mantle Cell Lymphoma

Recommendations for Clinical Trial Stratification

The authors have not provided any recommendations for clinical trial stratification at this time.

Bibliography

1. Soldini D, Valera A, Sole C, et al. Assessment of SOX11 expression in routine lymphoma tissue sections: characterization of new monoclonal antibodies for diagnosis of mantle cell lymphoma. *The American journal of surgical pathology*. 2014;38(1):86-93.
2. Nygren L, Baumgartner Wennerholm S, Klimkowska M, Christensson B, Kimby E, Sander B. Prognostic role of SOX11 in a population-based cohort of mantle cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2012;119(18):4215-4223.
3. Ondrejka SL, Lai R, Smith SD, Hsi ED. Indolent mantle cell leukemia: a clinicopathological variant characterized by isolated lymphocytosis, interstitial bone marrow involvement, kappa light chain restriction, and good prognosis. *Haematologica*. 2011;96(8):1121-1127.
4. Vegliante MC, Palomero J, Perez-Galan P, et al. SOX11 regulates PAX5 expression and blocks terminal B-cell differentiation in aggressive mantle cell lymphoma. *Blood*. 2013;121(12):2175-2185.
5. Damle RN, Wasil T, Fais F, et al. Ig V gene mutation status and CD38 expression as novel prognostic indicators in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood*. 1999;94(6):1840-1847.
6. Hamblin TJ, Davis Z, Gardiner A, Oscier DG, Stevenson FK. Unmutated Ig V(H) genes are associated with a more aggressive form of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood*. 1999;94(6):1848-1854.
7. Fernandez V, Salamero O, Espinet B, et al. Genomic and gene expression profiling defines indolent forms of mantle cell lymphoma. *Cancer Res*. 2010;70(4):1408-1418.
8. Kienle D, Krober A, Katzenberger T, et al. VH mutation status and VDJ rearrangement structure in mantle cell lymphoma: correlation with genomic aberrations, clinical characteristics, and outcome. *Blood*. 2003;102(8):3003-3009.
9. Lai R, Lefresne SV, Franko B, et al. Immunoglobulin VH somatic hypermutation in mantle cell lymphoma: mutated genotype correlates with better clinical

79.2. Hodgkin and Non-Hodgkin Lymphomas: Mantle Cell Lymphoma

- outcome. *Modern pathology : an official journal of the United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology, Inc.* 2006;19(11):1498-1505.
10. Orchard J, Garand R, Davis Z, et al. A subset of t(11;14) lymphoma with mantle cell features displays mutated IgVH genes and includes patients with good prognosis, nonnodal disease. *Blood.* 2003;101(12):4975-4981.
 11. Geisler CH, Kolstad A, Laurell A, et al. Long-term progression-free survival of mantle cell lymphoma after intensive front-line immunochemotherapy with in vivo-purged stem cell rescue: a nonrandomized phase 2 multicenter study by the Nordic Lymphoma Group. *Blood.* 2008;112(7):2687-2693.
 12. Greiner TC, Moynihan MJ, Chan WC, et al. p53 mutations in mantle cell lymphoma are associated with variant cytology and predict a poor prognosis. *Blood.* 1996;87(10):4302-4310.
 13. Hernandez L, Fest T, Cazorla M, et al. p53 gene mutations and protein overexpression are associated with aggressive variants of mantle cell lymphomas. *Blood.* 1996;87(8):3351-3359.
 14. Solenthaler M, Matutes E, Brito-Babapulle V, Morilla R, Catovsky D. p53 and mdm2 in mantle cell lymphoma in leukemic phase. *Haematologica.* 2002;87(11):1141-1150.
 15. Hartmann E, Fernandez V, Stoecklein H, Hernandez L, Campo E, Rosenwald A. Increased MDM2 expression is associated with inferior survival in mantle-cell lymphoma, but not related to the MDM2 SNP309. *Haematologica.* 2007;92(4):574-575.
 16. Hernandez L, Bea S, Pinyol M, et al. CDK4 and MDM2 gene alterations mainly occur in highly proliferative and aggressive mantle cell lymphomas with wild-type INK4a/ARF locus. *Cancer Res.* 2005;65(6):2199-2206.
 17. Halabi S, Owzar K. The importance of identifying and validating prognostic factors in oncology. Paper presented at: Seminars in oncology2010.
 18. Yang Y, Zhang YJ, Zhu Y, et al. Prognostic nomogram for overall survival in previously untreated patients with extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma, nasal-type: a multicenter study. *Leukemia.* 2015;29(7):1571-1577.
 19. Kattan MW, Hess KR, Amin MB, et al. American Joint Committee on Cancer acceptance criteria for inclusion of risk models for individualized prognosis in the practice of precision medicine. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians.* 2016.